

VILLAGE OF EAST AURORA VILLAGE BOARD MEETING September 21, 2026 – 7:00 PM

Present:

Trustee Lazickas
Trustee Cameron
Trustee Viger
Trustee Kamp
Mayor Wochensky

Absent:

Trustee Root
Trustee Bojanowski

Also Present:

Maureen Jerackas, Clerk-Treasurer
Kevin Rautenstrauch, Village Attorney
Liz Cassidy, Code Enforcement Officer
Jeff Stoll, General Foreman
Patrick Welch, Police Chief
36 Members of the public

PUBLIC HEARINGS

- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 7:03 pm to consider a Site Plan Application at 63 South Grove Street, David Surpinski, to construct an addition.
 - No public comment.Mayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 7:03 pm.
- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 7:04 pm to consider a Site Plan application for a wireless facility at the utility pole at 30 Buffalo.
 - No public commentMayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 7:04 pm.
- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 7:04 pm to consider a Site Plan application for a wireless facility at the utility pole at 340 Prospect.
 - No public commentMayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 7:04 pm.
- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 7:05 pm to consider a Site Plan application for a wireless facility at the utility pole at 114 North Willow.
 - No public commentMayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 7:05 pm.
- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 7:05 pm to consider a Site Plan application for 206 Main Street, Ryan Kanowski, to modify the facade that includes the installation of a 10'x12' roll-up door, a double door, and to extend the wall and roof for the enlargement of the interior stairway.
 - Mayor Wochensky reviewed the difference between the site plan and the special use permit. The applicant reviewed a PowerPoint showing the site plan. Mayor Wochensky read letters from Holly Schintzius, Mary Ann Coley, Sarah Taberski, Brandon Pieczynski, Evaleen Ziemba. All letters are attached to the minutes.
 - Karen Spencer- 31 Hamlin- She read a letter that she sent to the Village Board. The letter is attached to the minutes.

- Tony Rosati- 461 Griggs Place- He noted that the previous owner had an agreement to have the employees park offsite to try to relieve the parking situation and suggested that they do that with this application.
- Joann Gearhart- 48 South Willow- She has concerns about parking and noise.
- Sarah Taberski- 28 Hamlin- She has no problem with the work on the outside of the building but has concerns at the potential overflow of people in the area, the noise and her belief that there is a lack of police presence for non-emergency matters in that area.
- Dan Dytchkowskj- 43 North Willow- He spoke about safety with increased traffic. He said that when there is snow, emergency vehicles can't get down in those areas.
- Greg Callahan- 206 West Fillmore- He has concerns about the potential noise, the hours of operation and the parking situation.
- Jinx Griffin- 24 Hamlin- She has concerns about people on the sidewalk, the garage door being open and the noise.
- Marilyn Scattoreggio- 418 Prospect – She said that she has a son that lives at 38 South Willow and read the village code for noise because she believes noise is an issue.
- Holly Schintzius- 31 North Willow- She said that she is less concerned with the beautification of the property and said that quality of life is more important. She has concerns about this being a concert hall and the noise.
- Tim Walton- Live Events Promoter - He is for the remodel and believes that parking and the noise will not change from the previous business. He said that it seems like more of a policing issue if people aren't following the rules and that the ticket booth is just to maintain the crowds.
- Vince Croblío- CJ Sound- He said that he is working with the applicant and asked if there were previous complaints about sound. He explained what he is doing to try and control the sound levels in the venue.
- Mitch Sanic- He said that he is the designer for the renovations for the applicants and is working with the Village Code Enforcement department to get the building up to standard with the changes. He said that the intent and purpose of the business have not changed from what was there before. He said that there were code issues with the stairs that need to be addressed during the renovations. Trustee Lazickas asked about the drawing with the East and West direction and which side the door was going on. Mr. Sanic explained that it would be the Hamlin Street side.

Mayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 8:01 pm.

- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 8:01 pm to consider a Special Use Permit for 206 Main Street, Dylan Smith and Paige Lingenfelter, tenants of West End Social, for a restaurant with indoor and outdoor dining with no change to the current parking allotment.
 - Mayor Wochensky noted that all correspondence for the site plan public hearing could also apply for this public hearing.
 - Karen Spencer- 31 Hamlin- She finished reading her letter, which is attached to the minutes.
 - Holly Schintzius- 31 North Willow- She has concerns with the hours of operation and that residents stopped complaining because nothing was being done. She believes this business would be better located elsewhere.

Mayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 8:06 pm.

- Mayor Wochensky opened the Public Hearing at 8:06 pm to consider Keeping Other than Household Pets Permit-6 Hens, 281 Olean, Virginia Coleman & Ryan Aures.
 - No public comment

Mayor Wochensky closed the Public Hearing at 8:07 pm.

SPEAKERS & COMMUNICATIONS (I) – Agenda Items Only

- Jesse Griffis- 1955 Boise Rd- Chair of the Village Tree Board- He expressed that the draft policy online does not reflect what the Tree Board would like to see in the Memorial Tree Policy.
- Nancy Smith- 195 Sycamore- She said that the Tree Board was not contacted that this Memorial Tree Policy was on the agenda. Trustee Cameron said that she is happy that the policy is being

implemented but was not a part of the construction of it. Mayor Wochensky noted that the final version of the policy was not attached; he will send it over to the Tree Board, and no motion will be made on that today.

CONSENT CONSIDERATIONS

Trustee Lazickas asked about the GDAC event; Mayor Wochensky reviewed the event and added that Babcia's pierogies will also be served.

- A Motion by Trustee Kamp to approve all consent agenda items,
 - Approval of Minutes of Village Board Meeting for September 8, 2026.
 - Approval of Payment for September 21, 2026, Abstract 2026/2027 fiscal year for a total of \$91,865.25.
 - **Resolution of the Village of East Aurora of a Determination of Non-Significance pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) in the matter of the Site Plan Application for 63 South Grove, to remove and reconstruct a rear deck that is required to be moved so a rear addition can be constructed**

WHEREAS, the applicant has filed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form (SEAF) with this Board, a copy of which is included by reference and made a part hereof, relating to the proposed project at 49 Knox, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant, David Supinski; and

WHEREAS, the Village Planning Commission, after carefully and fully reviewing the application, including the Site Plan attached thereto, with any and all amendments and modifications, and considering comments and documentation presented for and against the project, voted in the majority recommending approval, with findings; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee carefully and fully reviewed Part 1 of the SEAF submitted by applicants including the Site Plan attached thereto, and the above-referenced amendments and modifications; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee, after its review of the above, prepared Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF with a recommendation of the issuance of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance for submission to, and consideration by, the Village Board; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board of Trustees, upon taking an independent hard look and reasoned evaluation of the above-referenced information, comments and written documentation, including, but not limited to, Part 1 of the SEAF; comments, recommendations, findings and conditions of the Planning Commission; the Site Plan; and the recommendation of the SEQRA Intake Committee and that Committee's completed Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF concerning the potential environmental impacts of the project; all of which are incorporated by reference herein; and WHEREAS, the Village Board, upon carefully and fully reviewing all the information, comments, and written documentation in regard to the project, made a finding that there are no significant environmental impacts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village Board of East Aurora as Lead Agency has determined that the proposed action described in the SEAF, submitted by the applicants, for the site plan proposed to remove and reconstruction of a rear deck that is required to be moved so a rear addition can be constructed at 63 South Grove, as detailed in the Site Plan Application dated August 12, 2026, is classified as an Unlisted Action and therefore issues a Negative Declaration, that this development will not have a significant environmental impact and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be required nor prepared.

- Resolution of the Village Board of East Aurora Approving the Site Plan for 63 South Grove, Applicant David Supinski, to remove and reconstruct a rear deck that is required to be moved so a rear addition can be constructed

WHEREAS, an application has been submitted for Site Plan Approval at the above-referenced property by applicant David Supinski,

WHEREAS, the Village Board referred the site plan to the Planning Commission for review, comment, and recommendation, and the Planning Commission resolution recommending site plan approval, with findings; and

WHEREAS, the Village's SEQRA Intake Committee considered the application and reviewed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form submitted by the applicant and completed Part 2 and Part 3 thereof on behalf of the Village, and it was the recommendation of the SEQRA Committee and approved by the Village Board as a Negative Declaration, including that the proposed development plan is an Unlisted Action and would have no significant environmental impact; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board at a public meeting reviewed and considered further the comments and all written materials submitted by the applicant and all other information and recommendations before the Board, including minutes of prior Village Board meetings and the recommendations from the SEQRA Intake Committee and Planning Commission, and the referral and response from the; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board received and considered the Site Plan, the above referenced upgrades, and any and all amendments thereof; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board has separately considered the environmental impacts of the project, declared itself Lead Agency and issued a Negative Declaration of environmental significance, with the proposal classified as an Unlisted Action.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Village Board as follows:

1. The Findings of Fact of the SEQRA Intake Committee, the resolution with findings of the Planning Commission, and the site plan application, all information included in the minutes taken in relation to the abovementioned Village Board and Planning Commission meetings are herein incorporated by reference, including the following findings of the Planning Commission: The application is for a minor modification to the structure, code-compliant, and not visible from the street.
2. The Resolution of the Village Board considering the environmental impacts of the project and the issuance of a Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance is incorporated herein by reference.
3. The Site Plan relating to the proposed project at 63 South Grove Street, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant proposes to remove and reconstruct a rear deck that is required to be moved so a rear addition can be constructed as detailed on documents submitted with the application, is hereby approved and is subject to the following additional conditions:
 - a.

4. The resolution is effective immediately, approving the issuance of a development and construction permit as hereinbefore set forth, subject to compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and codes.

- Resolution of the Village of East Aurora of a Determination of Non-Significance pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) in the matter of the Site Plan Application for 30 Buffalo Road, for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 43' high NYSEG-owned utility pole.

WHEREAS, the applicant has filed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form (SEAF) with this Board, a copy of which is included by reference and made a part hereof, relating to the proposed project at 30 Buffalo Road, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq; and

WHEREAS, the Village Planning Commission, after carefully and fully reviewing the application, including the Site Plan attached thereto, with any and all amendments and modifications, and considering comments and documentation presented for and against the project, voted in the majority recommending approval, with findings; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee carefully and fully reviewed Part 1 of the SEAF submitted by applicants including the Site Plan attached thereto, and the above-referenced amendments and modifications; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee, after its review of the above, prepared Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF with a recommendation of the issuance of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance for submission to, and consideration by, the Village Board; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board of Trustees, upon taking an independent hard look and reasoned evaluation of the above-referenced information, comments and written documentation, including, but not limited to, Part 1 of the SEAF; comments, recommendations, findings and conditions of the Planning Commission; the Site Plan and the recommendation of the SEQRA Intake Committee and that Committee's completed Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF concerning the potential environmental impacts of the project; all of which are incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board, upon carefully and fully reviewing all the information, comments and written documentation in regard to the project, made a finding that there are no significant environmental impacts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village Board of East Aurora as Lead Agency has determined that the proposed action described in the SEAF, submitted by the applicants, for the site plan proposed a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 43' high NYSEG-owned utility pole at 30 Buffalo Rd, as detailed in the Site Plan Application dated July 1st, 2026, is classified as an Unlisted Action and therefore issues a Negative Declaration, that this development will not have a significant environmental impact and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be required nor prepared.

- Resolution of the Village Board of East Aurora Approving the Site Plan for 30 Buffalo Road, applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 43' high NYSEG-owned utility pole.

WHEREAS, an application has been submitted for Site Plan Approval at the above-referenced property by applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq., project attorney,

WHEREAS, the Village Board referred the site plan to the Planning Commission for review, comment, and recommendation, and the Planning Commission resolution recommending site plan approval, with findings and no conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Village's SEQRA Intake Committee considered the application and reviewed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form submitted by the applicant and completed Part 2 and Part 3 thereof on behalf of the Village, and it was the recommendation of the SEQRA Committee and approved by the Village Board as a Negative Declaration, including that the proposed development plan is an Unlisted Action and would have no significant environmental impact; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board at a public meeting reviewed and considered further the comments and all written materials submitted by the applicant and all other information and recommendations before the Board, including minutes of prior Village Board meetings and the recommendations from the SEQRA Intake Committee and Planning Commission, and the referral and response from Erie County Division of Planning declaring: No Recommendation; proposed action has been reviewed and determined to be of local concern; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board received and considered the Site Plan, the above referenced upgrades, and any and all amendments thereof; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board has separately considered the environmental impacts of the project, declared itself Lead Agency and issued a Negative Declaration of environmental significance, with the proposal classified as an Unlisted Action.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Village Board as follows:

1. The Findings of Fact of the SEQRA Intake Committee, the resolution with findings of the Planning Commission, and the site plan application, all information included in the minutes

taken in relation to the abovementioned Village Board and Planning Commission meetings, are herein incorporated by reference, including the following findings of the Planning Commission:

a. The project promises to provide an enhanced service to the people in certain underserved areas of the Village.

b. It will have minimal visual and auditory impact.

c. There will be no tree trimming.

d. The selection of the location is a result of careful evaluation of several alternatives in each of the areas.

e. No operational lights associated with the micro cell.

f. No ability to alter the position or color of the micro cell box on the pole.

2. The Resolution of the Village Board considering the environmental impacts of the project and the issuance of a Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance is incorporated herein by reference.

3. The Site Plan relating to the proposed project at 30 Buffalo Road, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant proposes a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 43' high NYSEG-owned utility pole, as detailed on documents submitted with the application, is hereby approved and is subject to the following additional conditions:

a. _____

4. The resolution is effective immediately, approving the issuance of a development and construction permit as hereinbefore set forth, subject to compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws and codes.

- Resolution of the Village of East Aurora of a Determination of Non-Significance pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) in the matter of the Site Plan Application for 340 Prospect Ave, micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole.

WHEREAS, the applicant has filed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form (SEAF) with this Board, a copy of which is included by reference and made a part hereof, relating to the proposed project at 340 Prospect Avenue, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant, Jared C. Lusk, Esq., project attorney; and

WHEREAS, the Village Planning Commission, after carefully and fully reviewing the application, including the Site Plan attached thereto, with any and all amendments and modifications, and considering comments and documentation presented for and against the project, voted in the majority recommending approval, with findings; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee carefully and fully reviewed Part 1 of the SEAF submitted by applicants including the Site Plan attached thereto, and the above-referenced amendments and modifications; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee, after its review of the above, prepared Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF with a recommendation of the issuance of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance for submission to, and consideration by, the Village Board; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board of Trustees, upon taking an independent hard look and reasoned evaluation of the above-referenced information, comments and written documentation, including, but not limited to, Part 1 of the SEAF; comments, recommendations, findings and conditions of the Planning Commission; the Site Plan; and the recommendation of the SEQRA Intake Committee and that Committee's completed Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF concerning the potential environmental impacts of the project; all of which are incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board, upon carefully and fully reviewing all the information, comments, and written documentation in regard to the project, made a finding that there are no significant environmental impacts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village Board of East Aurora as Lead Agency has determined that the proposed action described in the SEAF, submitted by the applicants, for the site plan proposed for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole at 340 Prospect Avenue, as detailed in the Site Plan Application dated July 2, 2026, is classified as an Unlisted Action and therefore issues a Negative Declaration, that this development will not have a significant environmental impact and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be required nor prepared.

- Resolution of the Village Board of East Aurora Approving the Site Plan 340 Prospect, for applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole.

WHEREAS, an application has been submitted for Site Plan Approval at the above-referenced property by applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq., project attorney,

WHEREAS, the Village Board referred the site plan to the Planning Commission for review, comment, and recommendation, and the Planning Commission resolution recommending site plan approval, with findings and no conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Village's SEQRA Intake Committee considered the application and reviewed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form submitted by the applicant and completed Part 2 and Part 3 thereof on behalf of the Village, and it was the recommendation of the SEQRA Committee and approved by the Village Board as a Negative Declaration, including that the proposed development plan is an Unlisted Action and would have no significant environmental impact; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board at a public meeting reviewed and considered further the comments and all written materials submitted by the applicant and all other information and recommendations before the Board, including minutes of prior Village Board meetings and the recommendations from the SEQRA Intake Committee and Planning Commission,

WHEREAS, the Village Board received and considered the Site Plan, the above referenced upgrades, and any and all amendments thereof; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board has separately considered the environmental impacts of the project, declared itself Lead Agency, and issued a Negative Declaration of environmental significance, with the proposal classified as Unlisted Action.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Village Board as follows:

1. The Findings of Fact of the SEQRA Intake Committee, the resolution with findings of the Planning Commission, and the site plan application, all information included in the minutes taken in relation to the abovementioned Village Board and Planning Commission meetings, are herein incorporated by reference, including the following findings of the Planning Commission:
 - I. The project promises to provide an enhanced service to the people in certain underserved areas of the Village.
 - II. It will have minimal visual and auditory impact.
 - III. There will be no tree trimming.
 - IV. The selection of the location is a result of careful evaluation of several alternatives in each of the areas.
 - V. No operational lights associated with the micro cell.
 - VI. No ability to alter the position or color of the micro cell box on the pole.
2. The Resolution of the Village Board considering the environmental impacts of the project and the issuance of a Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance is incorporated herein by reference.
3. The Site Plan relating to the proposed project at 340 Prospect Avenue, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant proposes a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on an existing 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole, as detailed on documents submitted with the application, is hereby approved and is subject to the following additional conditions:

a. _____

4. The resolution is effective immediately, approving the issuance of a development and construction permit as hereinbefore set forth, subject to compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and codes.

- Resolution of the Village of East Aurora of a Determination of Non-Significance pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA) in the matter of the Site Plan Application for 114 North Willow for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment and to replace a 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole.

WHEREAS, the applicant has filed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form (SEAF) with this Board, a copy of which is included by reference and made a part hereof, relating to the proposed project at 114 North Willow, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant, Jared C. Lusk, Esq.; and

WHEREAS, the Village Planning Commission, after carefully and fully reviewing the application, including the Site Plan attached thereto, with any and all amendments and modifications, and considering comments and documentation presented for and against the project, voted in the majority recommending approval, with findings; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee carefully and fully reviewed Part 1 of the SEAF submitted by applicants including the Site Plan attached thereto, and the above-referenced amendments and modifications; and

WHEREAS, the Village SEQRA Intake Committee, after its review of the above, prepared Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF with a recommendation of the issuance of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance for submission to, and consideration by, the Village Board; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board of Trustees, upon taking an independent hard look and reasoned evaluation of the above-referenced information, comments and written documentation, including, but not limited to, Part 1 of the SEAF; comments, recommendations, findings and conditions of the Planning Commission, the Site Plan and the recommendation of the SEQRA Intake Committee and that Committee's completed Parts 2 and 3 of the SEAF concerning the potential environmental impacts of the project; all of which are incorporated by reference herein; and WHEREAS, the Village Board, upon carefully and fully reviewing all the information, comments and written documentation in regard to the project, made a finding that there are no significant environmental impacts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Village Board of East Aurora as Lead Agency has determined that the proposed action described in the SEAF, submitted by the applicants, for the site plan proposed to for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment and to replace a 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole at 114 North Willow, as detailed in the Site Plan Application dated July 13, 2026, is classified as an Unlisted Action and therefore issues a Negative Declaration, that this development will not have a significant environmental impact and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be required nor prepared.

- Resolution of the Village Board of East Aurora Approving the Site Plan for 114 North Willow St, applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq., for a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on a new 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole

WHEREAS, an application has been submitted for Site Plan Approval at the above-referenced property by applicant Jared C. Lusk, Esq., project attorney,

WHEREAS, the Village Board referred the site plan to the Planning Commission for review, comment, and recommendation, and the Planning Commission resolution recommending site plan approval, with findings and no conditions; and

WHEREAS, the Village's SEQRA Intake Committee considered the application and reviewed Part 1 of the Short Environmental Assessment Form submitted by the applicant and completed Part 2 and Part 3 thereof on behalf of the Village, and it was the recommendation of the SEQRA

Committee and approved by the Village Board as a Negative Declaration, including that the proposed development plan is an Unlisted Action and would have no significant environmental impact; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board at a public meeting reviewed and considered further the comments and all written materials submitted by the applicant and all other information and recommendations before the Board, including minutes of prior Village Board meetings and the recommendations from the SEQRA Intake Committee and Planning Commission,

WHEREAS, the Village Board received and considered the Site Plan, the above-referenced upgrades, and any and all amendments thereof; and

WHEREAS, the Village Board has separately considered the environmental impacts of the project, declared itself Lead Agency, and issued a Negative Declaration of environmental significance, with the proposal classified as Unlisted Action.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Village Board as follows:

1. The Findings of Fact of the SEQRA Intake Committee, the resolution with findings of the Planning Commission, and the site plan application, all information included in the minutes taken in relation to the abovementioned Village Board and Planning Commission meetings, are herein incorporated by reference, including the following findings of the Planning Commission:
 - I. The project promises to provide enhanced service to the people in certain underserved areas of the Village.
 - II. It will have minimal visual and auditory impact.
 - III. There will be no tree trimming.
 - IV. The selection of the location is a result of careful evaluation of several alternatives in each of the areas.
 - V. No operational lights associated with the micro cell.
2. No ability to alter the position or color of the micro cell box on the pole.
3. The Resolution of the Village Board considering the environmental impacts of the project and the issuance of a Negative Declaration of Environmental Significance is incorporated herein by reference.
4. The Site Plan relating to the proposed project at 114 North Willow Street, East Aurora, New York, wherein the applicant proposes a micro cell wireless telecommunication antenna and associated equipment on a new 38.5' high NYSEG-owned utility pole, as detailed on documents submitted with the application, is hereby approved and is subject to the following additional conditions:
 - a. _____

5. The resolution is effective immediately, approving the issuance of a development and construction permit as hereinbefore set forth, subject to compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws and codes.

- Approve Keeping Other than Household Pets Permit- 6 hens, 281 Olean, Virginia Coleman & Ryan Aures.
- Refer a Site Plan Application to the PC on October 8 for a “micro cell” at the 268 Main Street utility pole for Verizon.
- Permission for the Fire Chief to take his village vehicle to Gouldsboro, PA, to attend a heavy vehicle extraction training from October 16-18, 2026.
- RESOLUTION TO DECLARE SURPLUS PROPERTY

Trustee Kamp offered the following resolution and moved for its adoption:

BE IT RESOLVED, the Police Department has a 2020 Ford Explorer Police Interceptor (VIN 1FM5K8AB2LGB66106) as surplus property to be auctioned off in the next 90 days.

The foregoing resolution was seconded by Trustee Lazickas and unanimously approved.

- Approve a Temporary Use Permit for the GADC for an event at Fireman's Field on September 27, 2026.

The foregoing motions and resolutions were seconded by Trustee Lazickas and unanimously approved.

OFFICIAL CONSIDERATIONS

- A motion by Trustee Cameron to give permission for the Mayor or designee to sign a contract with GoNetSpeed for a 5-year contract for internet service at all three Village locations, seconded by Trustee Kamp and unanimously approved.
- A motion by Trustee Viger to set a public hearing on October 19, 2026, for a local law regarding aggressive panhandling, seconded by Trustee Lazickas and unanimously approved.
- Discussed the Memorial Tree, Bike Rack and Bench Policy- Trustee Viger said that the Bike Board should also get the policy to review in addition to the Tree board. Mayor Wochensky said that this will be moved to the next agenda.
- Mayor Wochensky appointed the following Capital Committee members:
 - Kristin Cameron- 1-year term to expire April 5, 2027
 - Ryan Kamp- 1-year term to expire April 5, 2027
 - Daniel Castle- 1-year term to expire April 5, 2027
 - Thomas Ruhland- 3-year term to expire April 2, 2029
 - Michael Macaluso- 2-year term to expire April 3, 2028
 - Peter Dechert- 1-year term to expire April 5, 2027
- A motion by Trustee Kamp to give permission for the Mayor to sign an agreement with Community Solar, seconded by Trustee Lazickas and unanimously approved.

OLD BUSINESS

- Pine and Girard All-Way Stop: Mayor Wochensky said that the study is still ongoing but would like to keep this on the agenda.

NEW BUSINESS

- Liquor License GADC – none had comments
- Mayor Wochensky asked if anyone on the Village Board would like to make a motion on the 206 Main applications and commented on the concerns. Trustee Kamp noted that most of the issues have to do with the special use permit, not the site plan application, and said that he is not against making a motion on the special use permit. Mayor Wochensky noted that he is not comfortable with the special use permit but could move forward with the site plan application. Trustee Lazickas noted he likes the change but that the garage door is a concern. Trustee Viger asked about parking regulations; Code Enforcement Officer Cassidy said that parking is normally included in both the site plan and special use permit. Trustee Viger wants to make sure we get the parking done right and do not rush through. Mayor Wochensky spoke about a further desire to remove the parking requirements in the Village. Trustee Kamp said that the site plan seems reasonable but if others need more time, he's ok with that.

SPEAKERS & COMMUNICATIONS

- Tony Rosati- 461 Griggs Pl- He has concerns over dangerous trees and power lines. General Foreman Stoll said that he already spoke to NYSEG about one of the trees that Mr. Rosati is talking about. Mayor Wochensky spoke about a grant for a tree maintenance plan.
- Karen Spencer- 31 Hamlin- She would like a 10-foot buffer zone against the fence to go with the site plan.

- Tom Morris- EA Fire Department- He spoke about October being fire prevention month. He reminded everyone to clean their chimneys and change batteries in smoke detectors and carbon monoxide detectors. The fire department is having an open house on October 10th from 10 am-1 pm for the community.
- Joe Marcel- 198 Main St- He said that he supports the 206 Main Street applications.
- Ryan Kanowski- 206 Main Street- He noted that the garage door doesn't go toward a house but another business. He is open to refining the design to make the Village Board more comfortable but is on a timeline for construction.
- Holly Schintzius- 31 North Willow- She said that the plans that she received for 206 Main did not include the stairs or the garage doors and wants a more complete set of documents. She noted that the garage doors will affect the neighbors no matter where they are.

Department Head and Trustee Reports

General Foreman Stoll- The DPW did 1,200 lbs of compost with around 40 residents dropping off. Girard, Oakwood, and South Grove were crack sealed. The DPW mechanics are working on the Police Chief's car and getting the leaf machines ready for the season. He said that they will be doing tree work, taking down flower baskets, and putting up veterans' banners. The water crew has been looking for leaks. Trustee Kamp said that the playground at Hamlin Park looks excellent. Trustee Viger asked about any updates on Maple. General Foreman Stoll noted that they are digging out and that he is working with NYSEG about the trees to get the sidewalks in faster.

Code Enforcement- The Code Enforcement Office picked up over 40 signs in the right-of-way before Borderlands and an additional 24 on Saturday.

Village Administrator- She went to NYCOM with Clerk_Treasurer Jerackas to do professional development.

Trustee Lazickas- none

Trustee Cameron- none

Trustee Viger- none

Trustee Kamp- none

Mayor Wochensky- none

EXECUTIVE SESSION

A motion by Trustee Lazickas to open an executive session at 8:43pm for contract negotiations, seconded by Trustee Kamp and unanimously approved.

A motion by Trustee Lazickas to close an executive session at 9:16pm , seconded by Trustee Kamp and unanimously approved.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Trustee Kamp to adjourn the meeting at 9:17 pm, seconded by Trustee Lazickas and unanimously carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Maureen Jerackas
Clerk-Treasurer